



**Snohomish County**

**Public Works**  
*Transportation & Environmental Services*

**MEMORANDUM**

**Date:** May 14, 2020  
**To:** Nolan Anderson, P.E., Engineering Services Flood Engineer  
**From:** Stephanie Cotton, Senior Planner  
**Subject:** CAR Review

PROJECT NAME	PROJECT NUMBER	PROJECT PROPONENT	PROJECT DESCRIPTION
6323 Riverview Road	RC1764	Engineering Services	100 feet of embankment repair
6725 Rivershore Road	RC1765	Engineering Services	200 feet of embankment repair
Fontal Rd, East of 21605	RC1766	Engineering Services	Guardrail and shoulder repair
Mtn Loop Hwy MP 46.5 at Goodman Creek Culvert	RC1767	SWM	Culvert, embankment and subgrade repair
Pioneer Highway MP 1.34 - 2.11	RC1768	Program Planning	Subgrade repair
Thomas Creek Bridge #642	RC1769	Engineering Services	Repair embankment erosion under bridge at west abutment
Woods Creek Road @Yeager Road	RC1770	Engineering Services	Slide, embankment and shoulder repair
5001 Riverview Rd	RC1771	Engineering Services	150 feet of embankment repair
Nicks Road at MP 0.08	RC1772	Engineering Services	Pavement and embankment repair
16200 High Bridge Rd	RM1022	Geotech	Slide, ditch, road, and guardrail repair
24000 High Bridge Rd (High Bridge Rd .5mi N of King Co )	RM1023	Geotech	Guardrail and road repair
22522 Woods Creek Road @ Wagner Rd	RM1024	SWM	Slope drain and embankment repair

13400 Woods Creek Road	RM1025	Engineering Services	Slide, shoulder, and road repair
14100 Kellogg Lake Road	RM1026	Geotech	Asphalt repair
26800 Stanwood Bryant Road	RM1027	Geotech	Shoulder repair

This memo documents Public Works' CAR review and analysis conducted on the above proposed projects. Based on a review of the proposed emergency repairs, archaeological screening results, environmental/regulatory/interested party information, and site visits conducted in January and February 2020 by multiple ENVS staff including myself, Mary Auld and Troy Fields, ENVS has determined that the proposals are minor development activity exceptions under SCC 30.62A.510 (3)(a).

**If ENVS is informed by the project proponent subsequent to this Memorandum that the design or scope of the project has changed, ENVS shall review the nature of such changes and document whether or not new or additional documentation is appropriate for compliance with all applicable regulations under SCC 30.62A.**




---

Stephanie Cotton, Senior Planner