

## Results of Second Screening Ballot – Summary by Key Categories

(47 separate items voted on; 15 ballots received)

### Top 14 rated items:

Rank	Concept	Rating
1	Increase allowed zoning density along transit corridors / (1)*	38
2	Engage private sector stakeholders – large employers, others – in helping to finding solutions to our housing affordability challenge	37
3	Encourage banking and insurance industry support for condominium projects as homeownership solution	36
4	Encourage cities to enter into cooperation agreements with the County Housing Authority	35
4	Implement SHB 1406: state sales tax shift to local governments for up to 20 years to fund affordable housing	35
4	Provide surplus and under-utilized land for affordable housing	35
5	Apply for state planning grants to develop housing elements of local comp plans in connection with increasing density. Apply alone or with other cities ( <i>Note: the deadline for the initial round of grants has passed.</i> )	34
5	Prioritize <i>affordability</i> and accessibility w/in ½ mile walkshed of existing and planned frequent transit service, particular priority on high-capacity transit stations. Require some amount of affordable housing in development near transit hubs*	34
5	Make available at no cost or deep discount or long-term lease under-utilized properties from state, county, cities and nonprofit/faith communities	34
5	Expand supports for low income renters and people with disabilities; fund operating costs for housing service providers	34
5	Expand engagement of non-governmental partners to support efforts to build and site more affordable housing	34
6	Toolkit for local use around housing affordability	33
6	Provide incentives to include affordable housing in denser zoned areas near transit	33
6	Focusing increased zoned density along transit corridors	33

### 40% or more of HART members voting voted to not include 3 of the 47 concepts.

Concept	% voting to remove from plan
Move urban growth line	53.3%
Implement mandatory inclusionary zoning programs	46.7%
Regional housing levy* (as there is no specific proposal, supporting this item is not saying “I will support a levy,” rather it is saying “we should continue to explore this idea”)	46.7% (1 <sup>st</sup> vote) 40% (2 <sup>nd</sup> vote)

Early Action Items	Rating	Top rated?
Encourage banking and insurance industry support for condominium projects as homeownership solution	40.0%	Yes
Apply for state planning grants to develop housing elements of local comp plans in connection with increasing density. Apply alone or with other cities	40.0%	Yes
Implement SHB 1406: state sales tax shift to local governments for up to 20 years to fund affordable hsg.	60.0%	Yes
Provide low income homeowners with low interest/deferred payment to repair homes fund home repair programs for low income households	80.0%	No
Toolkit for local use around housing affordability <b>(also a joint action item)</b>	46.7%	Yes
Engage private sector stakeholders – large employers, others – in helping to finding solutions to our housing affordability challenge	40.0%	Yes

Joint Action Items	Rating	Top rated?
Establish specific affordable housing goals (targets) in Comp Plans and provide more accurate info for development of those plans	46.7%	No
Remove barriers to reducing construction costs and delays and expedite the permit process	40.0%	No
Encourage cities and county to grant density bonuses for development on church-owned properties (implementing HB 1377)	40.0%	No
Establish short term acquisition revolving loan fund to enable rapid response to preserve affordable housing developments when they are put on the market.*	40.0%	No
Support creation of community land trusts	40.0%	No
Implement policy/zoning changes to increase Sno County/City projects competitiveness for state & federal funding Examples include: allowing multi-family (MF) zoning, MF zoning near transit, designating community revitalization areas, allowing early learning facilities in MF housing zones; allowing modular housing.*	53.3% 46.7%	No
<b>Increase variety of housing types</b> allowed at a range of affordability along transit corridors through increasing <b>density</b> , providing incentives to include affordable units	40.0%	No
Toolkit for local use around housing affordability <b>(also an early action item)</b>	40.0%	Yes
Educate the community about benefits of density	40.0%	No
Expand engagement of non-governmental partners to support efforts to build and site more affordable housing	40.0%	Yes
Engage private sector stakeholders – large employers, others – in helping to finding solutions to our housing affordability challenge	40.0%	Yes