



Snohomish County Council

Committee: Planning & Community Development **Analyst:** Yorik Stevens-Wajda
ECAF: 68158
Proposal: Proposed Ordinance 19-021 **Date:** May 17, 2019

Consideration

Ordinance 19-021 would adopt a set of 16 corrections to Title 30 SCC.

Background

From time to time, inadvertent errors, duplications, omissions, or inconsistencies may be found in the development code (Title 30 SCC). Planning & Development Services tracks these items as they are found and batches them into a correction ordinance. This process ensures that the code remains as clear and up to date as possible.

Current Proposal

Planning staff have identified 16 corrections, summarized below:

Section(s)	Amendment	Rationale
5	Remove reference to SCC 30.34A.085 from SCC 30.21.025.	That section was repealed by Amended Ord. 12-069.
6, 8, 10, 11	Replace "Guesthouse" with "Guest house" in SCC 30.22.100, SCC 30.22.120, SCC 30.26.030, and SCC 30.31A.115.	In SCC 30.91G.130 the definition refers to single family "guest house". SCC 30.91.040 refers to "guesthouse" as a part of the definition for Bed and breakfast guesthouse. The two terms have often been used interchangeably in the SCC creating confusion and necessitating these code amendments.
7	Remove references to reference notes (64) and (84) from SCC 30.22.110.	These reference notes are "RESERVED for future use" in SCC 30.22.130 and have no effect.
9	Correct the code citation in SCC 30.22.130(19).	The existing code citation is an incorrect cross-reference as that section simply refers back to reference note (19). SCC 30.65.120(7) covers applicable requirements for recreational vehicles and is the appropriate code citation.
12	Correct code citations in SCC 30.34A.026.	Two code citation errors were unintentionally included when SCC 30.34A.026 was added by Ordinance 13-007. SCC 30.22.030 regulates the number of uses per lot and SCC 30.22.041 has not existed since the UDC was adopted. The citation should be to SCC 30.23.030 (Bulk Matrix) which establishes all setback and height

		requirements relevant to SCC 30.34A.026(4)(a).
13	Correct a code citation in SCC 30.42B.100 .	The reference should be to SCC 30.42B.145 instead of 30.42B.140. This incorrect reference occurred due to a typographical error included in Amended Ordinance 08-101 which adopted SCC 30.42B.100(1).
14	Correct an error in SCC 30.72.060 that misidentifies who can agree to extend the time period for a Type 2 decision.	A typographical error currently reads “the appellant” and clearly should read “the applicant” as the decision timelines are intended to ensure that an applicant receives a timely county decision on its application.
15	Correct a formatting issue in SCC 30.86.510 .	The original intent was to provide a reduced fee when both (a) land use and (b) engineering consultation are requested by an applicant. The formatting is confusing as adopted because (a)+(b) does equal the sum of the individual land use and engineering consultation fees. Also, “(a)” and “(b)” are used elsewhere in the code section which results in additional confusion that this ordinance intends to eliminate.
16	Remove a reference to SCC 30.34A.085 from SCC 30.91U.085, which no longer exists.	That section was repealed by Amended Ord. 12-069.

Planning Commission Recommendation: Approve

Fiscal Impacts: No impact

Handling: Normal

Executive Recommendation: Approve

Approved-as-to-form: Yes

Risk Management: N/A