``

POINT WELLS PROJECT TIMELINE

DATE	ACTION
February 14, 2011	Short Plat application filed
March 4, 2011	Urban Center application filed
March 4, 2011	First Expanded Traffic Impact Analysis ("ETIA") submitted to Snohomish County by BSRE
March 4, 2011	Initial Critical Areas Report submitted to Snohomish County by BSRE
March 29, 2011	BSRE received City of Shoreline comments on the first ETIA
April 12, 2011	BSRE received WSDOT's review comments on first ETIA
April 25, 2011	GMHB's invalidation order regarding the UC Code and the designation of Point Wells as an Urban Center
June 7, 2011	BSRE received Snohomish County's traffic review comments from Mark Brown on first ETIA
June 15, 2011	BSRE received Snohomish County's transit review comments from Erik Olson on first ETIA
September 6, 2011	BSRE received Snohomish County's transit review comments from Jay Larson on first ETIA
September 12, 2011	King County Superior Court Order enjoined Snohomish County from procession BSRE's application
October 19, 2011	BSRE met with Shoreline to discuss traffic proposal
December 20, 2012	GMHB's order found Snohomish County in compliance with the Board's prior ruling, including the adoption of the Urban Village code
January 7, 2013	Court of Appeals' decision invalidated King County Superior Court's injunction
April 12, 2013	**PDS provided initial review letter regarding BSRE's application**
February 2, 2014	PDS issued the Determination of Significance and Request for Comments on Scope of EIS
February 12, 2014	City of Shoreline Transportation Corridor Study Public Meeting #1 (Segment A)
February 26, 2014	City of Shoreline Transportation Corridor Study Pubilc Meeting #2 (Segment A)
March 12, 2014	PDS issued second notice of Determination of Significance and Request for Comments on Scope of EIS
March 13, 2014	City of Shoreline Transportation Corridor Study Public Meeting #3 (Segment A)
March 19, 2014	City of Shoreline Transportation Corridor Study Public Meeting #4 (Segment B)
March 21, 2014	BSRE submitted extension request
April 1, 2014	City of Shoreline Transportation Corridor Study Public Meeting #5 (Segment B)

April 3, 2014	City of Shoreline Transportation Corridor Study Public Meeting #6/Open House (Segment A)
April 10, 2014	**Supreme Court decision confirmed the Court of Appeals' decision from January 7, 2013**
April 16, 2014	City of Shoreline Transportation Corridor Study Public Meeting #7 (Segments A & B)
May 29, 2014	BSRE's traffic consultant, Kirk Harris, had conference call with Mike Swenson at Transpo (the EIS traffic consultant) to discuss methods used for ETIA
July 2, 2014	Kirk Harris met with Mike Swenson and Kevin Jones at Transpo to discuss their review comments on the ETIA
July 30, 2014	BSRE submitted updated draft ETIA to City of Shoreline
March 18, 2015	Kirk Harris met with Mike Swenson and Kevin Jones at Transpo to discuss their review comments on the ETIA
April 13, 2015	Kirk Harris met with Mike Swenson and Kevin Jones at Transpo to discuss their review comments on ETIA
April 15, 2015	BSRE submitted second extension request
April 17, 2015	BSRE submitted first Methods and Assumptions Memo related to traffic to Snohomish County
April 21, 2015	PDS granted BSRE's second extension request
May 27, 2015	BSRE received Snohomish County's comments and Woodway's comments on the first Methods and Assumptions Memo related to traffic
June 2, 2015	BSRE met with Ryan Countryman to discuss the review comments received on May 27, 2015
June 2015	BSRE submitted second revised Critical Areas Report
July 6, 2015	BSRE submitted second revised Methods and Assumptions Memo related to traffic to Snohomish County
July 23, 2015	Cultural Resources Consultants, Inc. (EIS technical consultants) drafted Cultural Resources Technical Report for inclusion in the EIS
July 2015	BSRE received comments from Snohomish County (Randy Middaugh and others) and Grette Associates (EIS technical consultant) on second Critical Areas Report
August 26, 2015	BSRE submitted Secondary Access Report
September 8, 2015	BSRE met with Ryan Countryman and Snohomish County's traffic team to discuss review comments
September 17, 2015	BSRE received letter from Ryan Countryman regarding comments to the Secondary Access Report submitted on August 26, 2015
October 14, 2015	BSRE received Snohomish County's comments on the second Methods and Assumptions Memo related to traffic
December 9, 2015	BSRE submitted letter to Ryan Countryman requesting a meeting to discuss which revisions were necessary at this time and which should be made prior to the construction permit stage

December 14, 2015	BSRE submitted third revised Methods and Assumptions Memo related to traffic to Snohomish County
January 18, 2016	BSRE received Transpo's peer review comments on the third Methods and Assumptions Memo related to traffic
March 30, 2016	BSRE submitted third extension request
March 31, 2016	PDS granted BSRE's third extension request
April 20, 2016	BSRE met with Woodway to discuss traffic
May 5, 2016	BSRE submitted second updated ETIA to Snohomish County
May 11, 2016	BSRE received Snohomish County's notice that Transpo was authorized to review the second ETIA submitted on May 5, 2016
May 26, 2016	BSRE received Transpo's peer review comments on the second ETIA
May 27, 2016	BSRE received the City of Shoreline's and Snohomish County's review comments on the second ETIA
June 7, 2016	BSRE met with City of Shoreline staff to better understand their review comments
June 23, 2016	BSRE submitted responses to Snohomish County's comments received to date
June 24, 2016	BSRE submitted responses to City of Shoreline comments received to date
July 1, 2016	BSRE received message that Ryan Countryman informed EA that it is working on detailed review of Point Wells project
July 1, 2016	BSRE received Transpo's responses to DEA's approach to comments received to date
July 29, 2016	PDS provided 279-page draft EIS for internal review
September 1, 2016	BSRE provided responses to Transpo's responses on DEA's input/approach to comments received to date
September 1, 2016	After spending months working with Shoreline and Transpo to address comments on the second ETIA, BSRE submitted third updated ETIA to Snohomish County
November 15, 2016	PDS requested that BSRE provide a revised submittal by May 15, 2017, outlining the four major issues that needed to be resolved
April 17, 2017	BSRE provided a revised submittal which included the following documents: 1. Revised Urban Center permit application 2. Response Narrative 3. Project Narrative 4. Urban Center Review Comment Response Drawings 5. Short Plat Drawings 6. Targeted Drainage Report 7. Second revised Critical Areas Report 8. Transportation Demand Management Plan 9. Secondary Access "Exhibit A" 10. Fire Apparatus Turning Radius "Exhibit B"

	11. DNR Aquatic Lands Lease 12. Architectural drawings 13. Variance application for cross-parking agreement
May 2, 2017	PDS sent letter giving notice of expiration date and stated, "As the applicant, if you wish to request a further suspension of the application expiration period pursuant to the above mentioned Code provisions, you should make a written request to PDS prior to May 30, 2016, in order for the PDS director to have time to evaluate the request."
May 10, 2017	BSRE received Snohomish County's comments on the second ETIA, submitted on May 5, 2016
June 1, 2017	BSRE had call with Snohomish County (Mike McCrary, Ryan Countryman, traffic) to discuss County's review comments
June 16, 2017	BSRE met with Snohomish County to discuss County's review comments on April 17, 2017 submissions
July 11, 2017	BSRE received Snohomish County's comments (dated June 23, 2017) on third ETIA, submitted on September 1, 2016
July 14, 2017	BSRE received Snohomish County's comments from M. Uddin (dated July 12, 2017) on third ETIA
July 31, 2017	BSRE received Shoreline's comments related to the Level of Service and Memorandum of Understanding references in ETIA
July 31, 2017	BSRE met with Snohomish County to discuss County's comments on traffic and April 17, 2017 submittals
August 1, 2017	BSRE received Snohomish County's comments from Erik Olsen (dated July 12, 2017) on third ETIA
September 13, 2017	BSRE received Snohomish County's comments (dated May 23, 2017) on third ETIA
September 13, 2017	BSRE met with Snohomish County to discuss the traffic analysis. Jim Bloodgood, County traffic engineer, confirmed that the trip limit was acceptable
October 6, 2017	PDS provided comments on BSRE's April 17, 2017 submission
November 13, 2017	BSRE met with PDS to discuss the October 6, 2017 letter, the timeline for responding to that letter, and the expectation of an extension
December 12, 2017	BSRE met with PDS to discuss the October 6, 2017 comments
April 17, 2018	BSRE received County's Staff Recommendation
April 27, 2018	BSRE provided revised submittal which included the following documents: 1. Revised preliminary short plat 2. Detailed responses to Comment Letter 3. Revised architectural plans

	4. Updated Master Permit Application and Checklist for 11-101008 LDA 5. Variance request regarding heights 6. EDDS deviation request regarding private roads 7. Updated Master Permit Application for 11-101457 and 11-101461 SM LU 8. Revised Urban Center Development Project Narrative 9. Flood Hazard Permit application 10. Updated Preliminary Short Subdivision Submittal Checklist 11. Supplement to Urban Center Application 12. Third revised Critical Areas Report 13. Secondary Access road exhibit 14. Subsurface conditions report 15. Coastal engineering assessment 16. Hydrogeologic report, version 3 17. Remediation memo 18. Revised targeted drainage report for short plat resubmittal 19. Revised targeted drainage report for urban center resubmittal 20. Geotechnical report
May 9, 2018	BSRE received County's Supplemental Staff Recommendations
May 15, 2018	BSRE submitted the following revised documents: 1. Revised Supplement to Urban Center Application 2. Revised Shoreline consistency narrative 3. Landslide area deviation request clarification letter 4. Revised Phasing Drawing 5. Revised Urban Center Development Plan Project Narrative 6. Memo from Bill Gerken regarding response to Supplemental Staff Report 7. Memo from Kirk Harris regarding response to Supplemental Staff Report 8. Memo from Mark Davies regarding response to Supplemental Staff Report