

Countryman, Ryan

From: Jerry Patterson <jerryapat08@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2016 8:37 PM
To: Countryman, Ryan
Subject: RBCA Community Meeting on Tuesday Evening
Attachments: Revised Summary of EIS Scoping Comments 3-31-16.docx

Ryan:

On behalf of the Richmond Beach community, I want to thank you for agreeing to speak at our April monthly RBCA meeting. As you may know, the program starts at 7:30 with informal social time beginning at 7 pm. Our meetings are held in the lower level of the Richmond Beach UCC Church. You will enter the parking lot for the lower level off of 195th Street.

Realizing that many of the community members in attendance on Tuesday aren't current in DEIS happenings, likely you will get questions such as:

- Why has it taken so long to get to this point in the DEIS?
- There has been some talk about a possible need for a supplemental DEIS. What does that mean and what will it include?
- How will the City of Shoreline's delayed TCS study (to be completed some time in 2017) feed into the Transportation chapter of the DEIS?
- What role does the City of Shoreline staff and Council have in shaping SnoCo's findings in the DEIS?
- What role does community input and expert testimony have in shaping SnoCo's findings?
- What kinds of things are you looking for that would be helpful when the community input time period begins for the DEIS?
- What is your best estimate of when the FEIS will likely be finished, and what happens after the FEIS is published?
- Given all of the delayed timelines and all of the remaining steps that are required, what is your best estimate of the soonest that the Developer could reasonably expect to begin Phase 1 construction?

Also, we will provide a handout (attached) for the community that shows the major categories the DEIS will address.

Feel free to drop me a note or give me a call (206-799-6170) if there is anything else you would like to discuss before our meeting.

Take care,

Jerry Patterson, RBCA Board member

Excerpts from Snohomish County Summary of EIS Scoping Comments (8-14)

1. Earth Comments

- Existing and proposed slopes on and adjacent to the project site, including steep slope areas.
- Existing geologic hazard areas in the site vicinity, including landslide hazards, liquefaction, erosion hazards, and potential associated impacts.
- Potential impacts resulting from regional earthquakes and landslides from slopes to the east.

2. Water Resources Comments

- Potential stormwater runoff impacts on surrounding water resources, including Puget Sound.
- Potential impacts of increased stormwater runoff on existing storm water quality and management facilities in the City of Shoreline.
- Existing identified floodplains and the relationship to identified floodplain areas adjacent to the site.
- Potential impacts to shoreline areas on the site, including impacts associated with sea-level rise and tsunamis.
- Potential impacts of additional project traffic and resulting increase in pollutants from vehicles on the storm water facilities of local roadways.

3. Noise

- Noise impacts associated with the proposed redevelopment, including construction noise (equipment, truck traffic, etc.) and traffic noise.
- Potential impacts associated with the proposed sound barrier wall adjacent to the railroad tracks and its potential to create noise impacts on surrounding areas, especially to the east.

4. Plants and Animals Comments

- Existing terrestrial and aquatic wildlife and wildlife habitat onsite and in the site vicinity and associated impacts with redevelopment.
- Existing fisheries and fisheries habitat onsite and in the site vicinity, and associated impacts with redevelopment.
- Existing on-site wetlands and wetlands in the site vicinity, and potential impacts associated with redevelopment.

5. Environmental Health Comments

- Existing on-site contamination and the relationship of proposed remediation/cleanup to the redevelopment project.
- Redevelopment on the site should include measures to mitigate impacts associated with the existing contamination and associated remediation/cleanup.

6. Aesthetics Comments

- Proposed building heights and building character and their visual impacts on and consistency with the existing aesthetic character of surrounding areas.
- Potential impacts of redevelopment on existing views in the site vicinity, primarily toward Puget Sound.
- Potential light and glare impacts associated with redevelopment on adjacent areas.
- Proposed site design, building materials, glazing and landscaping that would mitigate visual impacts of redevelopment.

7. Land Use/Plans and Policies Comments

- The proposed density and character (building height/bulk/scale) of the redevelopment and

the consistency with surrounding neighborhoods.

- The proposed land uses and their consistency with the surrounding, primarily single family residential neighborhood.
- The proposed redevelopment and potential impacts on the quality of life for residents in the site vicinity.
- The consistency of the proposal with existing plans and policies, including plans and policies for Snohomish County, the Town of Woodway, and the City of Shoreline.
- Relationship of the proposal to any designated Shoreline Master Program Areas for Snohomish County, City of Shoreline and Town of Woodway.

8. Historic and Cultural Resources

- The potential for archaeological resources on the site that could be unearthed during redevelopment of the site.
- The potential for historic resources on the site and potential impacts associated with redevelopment

9. Transportation Comments

- Access to the Point Wells site and the lack of a secondary access point, including potential emergency access for the site.
- Increased trip generation and traffic associated with redevelopment (including construction-related traffic) on local roadways in the City of Shoreline and the Town of Woodway.
- Increased cut-through traffic through local neighborhoods in the vicinity of the site and potential driveway access issues for local residents.
- The inclusion of both AM and PM peak hour periods in the transportation analysis.
- Limited transit options for the site and surrounding site vicinity.
- Pedestrian and bicycle safety in the vicinity of the site
- The adequacy of surrounding roadways to handle construction traffic.
- Potential for impacts from roadway widening on existing facilities within the Richmond Beach Drive and Richmond Beach Road right-of-ways.
- The provision of adequate mitigation to minimize transportation impacts to the City of Shoreline and Town of Woodway.
- Potential for providing a new commuter rail station/stop at the development site.

10. Public Services Comments

- Proposed new residents and their impacts on public services (i.e., police, fire/emergency services, schools, parks, and libraries), in particular, what entities would provide services for the site and potential impacts to public services provided by the City of Shoreline.
- Potential for emergency access issues due to the proposed single point of access for the site.
- Proposed recreational features that would be included as part of the redevelopment and the potential for public access to those features.
- Potential for freight train accidents and resulting emergency response issues

11. Fiscal Impact Comments

- Potential fiscal impacts on public service providers and public infrastructure in surrounding jurisdictions.
- Potential impacts on property values for property owners in the vicinity of the site.