

From: Tom McCormick <tomccormick@mac.com>
Sent: Friday, January 05, 2018 11:47 AM
To: Phillips, Suzie
Cc: MacCready, Paul; Countryman, Ryan; Larson, Jay; Olson, Erik; Eastin, Darryl
Subject: Email re Point Wells not having needed roads and transit
Attachments: New Direction memo.pdf

Suzie,

The attached email from Jay Larson says that, "Pt Wells can never practically be served with the transportation services, both roads and transit, envisioned for Urban Centers. Collector arterials, local bus or subscription vans do not rise to the level of service necessary."

What is the date of the attached email from the 3rd installment for K018980?

Thank you.

Tom McCormick

From: Larson, Jay /O=SNOHOMISH/OU=COUNTY/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=SPWJGL
Subject: New Direction memo
To: Microsoft Exchange
Cc: Olson,Erik , Eastin,Darryl , Birkel,Kenneth

David,

I have thought a bit about the Policy discussion in your memo. I believe that we need to include both roads and transit in the discussion. They are two pieces of the same whole. The Urban center designation was created to, among other things, put density where it can be efficiently served by transportation. Urban Centers were intended to be served by both principal arterials/freeways and high capacity, high frequency transit. This is the gist of LU 3.A.3. As the GMHB has stated, Pt Wells does not qualify. But more importantly, Pt Wells can never practically be served with the transportation services, both roads and transit, envisioned for Urban Centers. Collector arterials, local bus or subscription vans do not rise to the level of service necessary.

I will make some language suggestions.

Jay Larson, AICP
Transportation Specialist
Snohomish County Public Works
3000 Rockefeller, Everett, WA 98201
(425) 388-3614