

Countryman, Ryan

From: Tom McCormick <tommccormick@mac.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 17, 2015 11:08 AM
To: Countryman, Ryan
Cc: Tom Mailhot
Subject: 90 feet maximum

Ryan,

I thought that you might be interested in BSRE's stated position in Gary Huff's Sept. 24, 2009 letter to the County Council, responding to the Town of Woodway's proposed amendment that would have set a maximum 65-foot building height at Point Wells:

"Woodway's limitations would also dictate exactly the type of project design in which Paramount is not at all interested. Buildings not much taller than the existing tanks would blanket the site, unnecessarily usurping what would otherwise be open space and forcing a very institutional "dormitory style" development. Even if economically justifiable, Paramount is not interested in that kind of unimaginative and unattractive development."

The takeaway: The 90-foot maximum building height plans that BSRE submits for study in the EIS should be plans that BSRE might realistically build to, not plans for a very institutional "dormitory style" development which it is not interested in.

Thank you.

Tom McCormick