

PRESENT (P)

Ad Hoc Committee

- | | |
|--|--|
| (P) Alan MacDonald, Northshore Youth Lacrosse | () Tom Teigen Snohomish County Parks |
| (P) Dave Shipway, Northshore Youth Soccer | (P) James Yap, Snohomish County Parks |
| () Joey Wyrick, Northshore Youth Soccer | (P) Russ Bosanko, Snohomish County Parks |
| (P) Tom Campbell, NYSA / FC Alliance | () Hal Gausman, Snohomish County Parks |
| () Rich Leahy, City of Woodinville | (P) Dianne Bailey, Snohomish County Parks |
| (P) Mike Surber, Neighbor | (P) Steve Dickson, Snohomish County Public Works |
| () Lori Belanger, Neighbor | (P) Tammy Dunn, Snohomish County Sports Commission |
| (P) Mike Bailey, Neighbor | |
| (P) Mike Hoiland, Neighbor | |
| (P) Corey Walker, Neighbor | |
| (P) Sean Curran, NW Indoor MTB Parks | |
| (P) Paula Townsell, Brent Planning Solutions (Consultant for Northshore School District) | |

Others

- (P) Geoff Thomas, County Council
- () Rich Huebner, Sno. County Sports Commission
- () Bob Leonard, Snohomish County Maintenance
- () Tom Pearce, Running Interest

Consultants

- (P) Bruce Dees, Bruce Dees & Associates
- (P) Brian Patnode, Bruce Dees & Associates
- (P) Derrick Eberle, Bruce Dees & Associates
- (P) Edward Koltonowski, Gibson Traffic Consultants

PURPOSE OF THE MEETING

The meeting was held to review preliminary plans and gather feedback.

DISCUSSION

Mike Hoiland, Mike Bailey, and Corey Walker introduced themselves as new members of the ad hoc committee representing the neighborhood. Bruce reviewed the project process and schedule, previous meeting minutes, the second public meeting, the third neighborhood meeting, new correspondence, and presented refined alternatives of the concepts shared at the public meeting.

COMMENTS ON PREVIOUS MEETING MINUTES

None

ADDITIONAL NEEDS & CONCERNS

None

PRESENTATION OF ALTERNATIVE PLANS

Bruce presented four alternative plans. North of 240th, all plans show the mountain bike facility at the northwest corner, parking on the west side, and two grass fields in the center of the open space. South of 240th street, Plan A had parking located to the west, Plan B had parking located to the north east, and Plans C1 and C2 had parking located along the east.

COMMENTS ON ALTERNATIVES

Plan A

- Plan is very efficient in terms of access and road lengths
- Indoor sports facility should be tucked into the landscape more
 - Consider moving building farther east

Plan B

- The field located to the west is not integrated with the rest of the facility. It was suggested to relocate that field to the east, closer in proximity with the other fields.

- According to some neighbors, locating parking next to neighbors rather than fields is preferred.

Plans C1 and C2

- The location of the indoor sports facility is ideal in these plans because it limits visual impact on the site
- Long entry road may be expensive
- Roundabout could be moved east to shorten entry road and provide more parking area to lot north of 240th.

Parking & Traffic

- Edward shared that traffic for the facility will work effectively with a roundabout. A second access is not needed, but would be required with an all way stop
- More Parking will be needed north of 240th Street
 - Consider capacity for mountain bike, two fields, and picnic shelters all at the same time
 - October to January will be the peak season for the mountain bike facility (parking)
- Traffic versus emergency response times should be considered
 - Emergency access points should be added.
- Parking should be located close to fields
- Maximize parking to its fullest extent
- Allot parking space for umpires and officials
- 50 stalls/field is considered adequate parking
- Overflow parking can occur in community use area
- A second roundabout may not be financially practical

General

- A larger buffer reduces the visual scale of development
- The 50-100' buffer should be expanded further where possible
- There should be a sidewalk/paved trail connection to 75th St from the park.
 - Easements should be obtained where property is not owned by the park
- Neighbors desire pedestrian access to the park from the surrounding neighborhood (ie sidewalks along 240th and 75th)
- Walls should be used to address elevation challenges
 - Steve said that utilizing walls would be better than stripping the hill and replanting

NEXT MEETING

The next meeting is scheduled for Friday, June 8, 2012 at 10:00 AM at the Snohomish County Parks office.

These are the minutes, as we understand them. If there area any additions or corrections, please contact Bruce Dees & Associates immediately.