Staff Analysis
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSP ID #</th>
<th>Abbreviated Title</th>
<th>NTA Owner</th>
<th>Vital Signs and Recovery Strategies Addressed</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Award Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0159</td>
<td>Mountains to Sound K-12 Education Pilot</td>
<td>Stillaguamish Tribe</td>
<td>Vital Sign: Freshwater quality, estuaries, and floodplains. <strong>Stressor:</strong> Domestic Runoff and natural system modification <strong>SSLIO 10.1 &amp; 10.2</strong></td>
<td>Stillaguamish</td>
<td>$59,922 (partial – region)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0218</td>
<td>Puget Sound Starts . . . At My School</td>
<td>Snohomish CD</td>
<td>Vital Signs: Freshwater Quality. <strong>Stressors:</strong> Domestic pollution <strong>SSLIO 04.1</strong></td>
<td>Snohomish</td>
<td>$97,200 (full – region)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>311</td>
<td>Fisherman’s Harbor Stormwater Quality*</td>
<td>City of Everett/Port of Everett</td>
<td>Vital Signs: Estuaries, Chinook, Land Development/Cover, and Freshwater Quality (high) <strong>Stressors:</strong> Runoff (all sources). (very high) <strong>SSLIO 06.1</strong></td>
<td>Snohomish</td>
<td>partial – outside NEP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Habitat Strategic Initiative**

| 0310     | Integrated Floodplain Management | Snohomish County | Vital Signs: Floodplains, estuaries, land cover, chinook, summer stream flows, freshwater quality **SSLIO 02.1** | Both* | $250,000 (full – region) |
| 0045     | Balancing Fish, Farms, and Floods... | King County | Vital Signs: Floodplains (High) **Stressors:** Development conversion and agriculture conversion (Very High) **SSLIO 02.1** | Snoqualmie | $200,000 (partial-region) |
| 0074     | Climate Resiliency in Snohomish River... | Snohomish CD | Vital Signs: Floodplains, Land Cover, Chinook **SSLIO 02.1** | Snohomish | $200,000 (partial-region) |
| 0315     | Model Volunteer Program for Oil Spill... | WSU Extension | Vital Signs: Estuaries, Freshwater Quality, Chinook, Floodplains **SSLIO 01.1 & 01.2** | Both* | $56,160 (full-region) |
| 0169     | MRC, Snohomish Estuary Cleanup | Snohomish County MRC | Vital Sign: Estuaries, Chinook, and Marine water quality. (High) **Stressor:** Derelict vessels and natural system modification (all very high) **SSLIO 01.1 & 01.2 and 10.1 & 10.2** | Snohomish | $50,000 (partial – local) |
| 0071     | Living with Beavers Program | Snohomish CD | Vital Signs: Chinook, Summer Stream Flows, and Freshwater Quality, Floodplain. (All High) **Stressor:** Biological resources use (high) **SSLIO 10.1 & 10.2** | Snohomish | $50,000 (full – local) |
| 0133     | Watershed Ed for Decision Makers* | Sound Salmon Solutions | Vital Signs: Chinook, Estuaries, Freshwater Quality, and Land Development/Cover. **Stressors:** None **SSLIO 08.1 and 01.1 & 01.2 and 10.1 & 10.2** | Snoqualmie | partial – outside NEP |

**Shellfish Strategic Initiative**

| 0306     | Financing Options for Healthy OSS | Snohomish County | Vital Sign: Shellfish Beds. (high) **Stressors:** OSS (very high) **SSLIO 07.1** | Both* | $100,000 (partial – region) |
| 0395     | Lower Stilly PIC Program | Snohomish County | Vital Sign: Freshwater quality **SSLIO 04.1, 05.1 & 07.1** | Stillaguamish | $300,000 (full-region) |

*Note: If work is taking place in both watersheds, then the Snohomish and Stillaguamish were both given credit for the project, which essentially cancels them out within the pie chart.
NTAs funded outside of NEP were not included in this analysis.
*Note: if work is taking place in both watersheds, then the Snohomish and Stillaguamish were both given credit for the project, which essentially cancels them out within the pie charts.

NTAs funded outside of NEP were not included in this analysis.
% NEP FUNDED NEAR TERM ACTIONS IN SNOQUALMIE BASIN

- Funded
- Unfunded

*Note: If work is taking place in both watersheds, then the Snohomish and Stillaguamish were both given credit for the project, which essentially cancels them out within the pie charts.

NTAs funded outside of NEP were not included in this analysis.
% NEP FUNDED NEAR TERM ACTIONS IN SNOHOMISH BASIN

- Funded
- Unfunded

26.90%
73.10%

*Note: If work is taking place in both watersheds, then the Snohomish and Stillaguamish were both given credit for the project, which essentially cancels them out within the pie charts.

NTAs funded outside of NEP were not included in this analysis.
% NEP FUNDED NEAR TERM ACTIONS IN STILLAGUAMISH BASIN

- Funded
- Unfunded

*Note: If work is taking place in both watersheds, then the Snohomish and Stillaguamish were both given credit for the project, which essentially cancels them out within the pie charts.

NTAs funded outside of NEP were not included in this analysis.
2017 $100,000 Allocation
NTAs for Consideration-DUE
9/22/17
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSP ID #</th>
<th>Abbreviated Title</th>
<th>NTA Owner</th>
<th>Vital Signs Addressed</th>
<th>Recovery Plan Strategy Alignment</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Award Amount</th>
<th>Cost Revised (Original)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0162</td>
<td>Latino Stormwater and LID Outreach</td>
<td>WSU Extension</td>
<td>Vital Signs: Freshwater Quality, Vital Signs: Freshwater Quality Stressor: Domestic Runoff</td>
<td>SSLIO 04.1: Achieves the enabling conditions to ultimately reduce stormwater impacts resulting from domestic runoff.</td>
<td>Snohomish</td>
<td>Unfunded</td>
<td>$100,000 ($76,185)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0262</td>
<td>Snohomish Co. Natural Yard Care</td>
<td>Snohomish County</td>
<td>Vital Signs: Freshwater Quality, Vital Signs: Freshwater Quality Stressor: Domestic Runoff</td>
<td>SSLIO 04.1: Pre-campaign work to ultimately reduce stormwater impacts resulting from domestic runoff, SSLIO 05.1: Achieves enabling conditions to ultimately reduce pollution in stormwater by investigating contaminants and providing corrective measures</td>
<td>Snohomish</td>
<td>Unfunded</td>
<td>$231,483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0045</td>
<td>Balancing Fish, Farms, and Floods...</td>
<td>King County</td>
<td>Vital Signs: Floodplains (High), Vital Signs: Floodplains (High), Vital Signs: Floodplains (High), Vital Signs: Floodplains (High), Vital Signs: Floodplains (High), Vital Signs: Floodplains (High) Stressor: Development conversion and agriculture conversion (Very High)</td>
<td>SSLIO 02.1: Achieves future analysis to support negotiations that would accelerate a reduction in structural barriers to water, sediment, and debris flows as well as a reduction in land conversion.</td>
<td>Snoqualmie</td>
<td>$200,000 (partial-region)</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0169</td>
<td>MRC, Snohomish Estuary Cleanup</td>
<td>Snohomish County MRC</td>
<td>Vital Sign: Estuaries, Ch inook, and Marine water quality. (High) Stressor: Derelict vessels and natural system modification (all very high)</td>
<td>SSLIO 01.1 &amp; 01.2: Achieves enabling conditions to prioritize areas for restoration which would ultimately reduce impacts from shoreline infrastructure and natural systems modifications in the nearshore, SSLIO 10.1 &amp; 10.2: Achieves enabling conditions to prioritize areas for restoration which would ultimately reduce impacts from shoreline infrastructure and natural systems modifications in estuaries.</td>
<td>Snohomish</td>
<td>$50,000 (partial – local)</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0306</td>
<td>Financing Options for Healthy OSS</td>
<td>Snohomish County</td>
<td>Vital Sign: Shellfish Beds. (High) Stressors: OSS (very high)</td>
<td>SSLIO 07.1: Provides cost-share incentives and loan programs that would ultimately reduce impacts from OSS.</td>
<td>Stillaguamish</td>
<td>$100,000 (partial – region)</td>
<td>$246,950 ($206,950)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Natural Yard Care Target Areas

1. Arlington, NW Marysville, Seven Lakes and County UGA
2. Granite Falls, SE Marysville, County UGA
3. Everett, County UGA
4. Mill Creek, Bothell, South County and County UGA
5. Snohomish, Monroe, County UGA
6. Mukilteo, Edmonds, Lynnwood, County UGA
7. Lynnwood, MLT, Brier, Edmonds, County UGA

Workshop Locations
Options for LIO Allocation & Regional Synergies

LIOs may choose from the following three options for the direct funding of eligible LIO identified priority NTAs:

- **Option 1/Implementation**: Fund one NTA that needs up to $100,000 to be implemented.

- **Option 2/Phased Increment**: Fund one NTA that can be phased and incrementally funded over the course of a few years using each year’s $100,000 allotment (i.e. Phase 1 (2016-17) = $100,000, Phase 2 (2017-18) = $100,000). OR identify that they would like to recommend directing funding to the NTA that they identified in 2016.

- **Option 3/Pooled NTAs**: Teams of LIOs can recommend jointly funding one NTA by pooling each of their $100,000.

**Feedback from Habitat Strategic Initiative Lead**

- Funding the Agriculture Strategy piece of the Snoqualmie Fish, Farm, Flood NTA which would complement regional funding of the buffer task force. A good synergistic investment with a good story to tell about local-regional collaboration to achieve shared, multi-benefit goals.

- Also support the MRC Snohomish Estuary NTA due to regional and local priorities. The Estuary IS is focused on adding acreage to estuaries and DNR believes restoring the Snohomish estuary is a good place to start.
Key Questions and Considerations

- Do we want to further fund a partially funded investment (option 2) or would we rather fund a new NTA in its entirety (option 1)?
- Do we want to fund gaps in our LIO recovery strategies?
- If we fund one NTA and we have $30,000 or more left over, we can apply that to an existing NTA contract.
- South Central LIO not meeting until after Labor Day so we likely do not have time to explore option 3. We should explore that as an option next year, if there is interest.
Local Customization of Regional Priorities - DUE 8/30/17
First: the Habitat priorities all pretty much follow the same pattern: Enable, Design, Implement - and so our local context is very repetitive in those areas.

Second: How we are handling salmon projects - Point out everywhere where we want to direct the NTA owner to follow that guidance.

Third: generally highlight that we are pointing out where our strategies align with each approach, and directing the NTA owner to engage Sno-stilly and relevant partners wherever applicable.
Utility & Decision

- The minimum requirement for a local NTA to be recommended for inclusion in the Action Agenda, and ultimately considered for funding, is alignment with the local context for that regional priority. We will discuss additional criteria during the 2018 NTA Development and Review Process discussion (next agenda item).
- Are we ready to recommend this local context for Executive Committee approval?
- If so, we will need to email the EC to get approval by 8/29 for 8/30 submittal.
Regulatory Harmonization for Salmon Habitat Protection and Restoration (Phase 1)

- Sono Hashisaki
- Terry Williams, Tulalip Tribes
2018 NTA Development and Review Local Process - DUE 9/30/17
Draft Timeline

~11/13: NTA Solicitation Released
~12/22: Pre-registration Deadline
~2/20: DRAFT NTA Factsheets Due to LIOs
~3/30: Final NTA Factsheets Due to PSP
~4/30: LIOs and Tribes Submit Recommendations
~6/30 SI Leads and SIATs Recommend NTAs for Action Agenda Adoption
Next Steps

NTA Workshop: Change to 11/16 IC Meeting?
LIO Structure Alternatives Review: 10/19
Other topics for 10/19 Meeting?

- Pending Downgrade in Port Susan Bay
- Updates from NTA Owners
- Education and Outreach Efforts in the LIO