

Snohomish-Stillaguamish Local Integrating Organization (LIO) 2016 Survey Responses – Executive Committee

Total number of responders = 4

1. Please indicate how much you agree with the following statements.

a. *The objectives of the LIO have been clearly communicated to me.*

Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree
25%	25%	0%	50%	0%

b. *The role of the LIO Implementation Committee (IC) is well-defined.*

Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree
25%	50%	0%	25%	0%

c. *The role of the LIO Executive Committee (EC) is well-defined.*

Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree
25%	50%	0%	25%	0%

d. *Most of the topics covered in meetings are relevant to the stakeholders I represent.*

Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree
0%	50%	50%	0%	0%

2. Comments on statements [#1a-d] above

None provided

3. Please indicate how much you agree with the following statements.

a. *I receive enough information on important topics to prepare me for meeting discussions.*

Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree
0%	75%	25%	0%	0%

b. I feel that my participation in the LIO allows me to meaningfully contribute to ecosystem recovery efforts.

Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree
0%	25%	25%	50%	0%

c. The IC and EC adequately represent the stakeholder groups that need to be involved in the LIO.

Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree
25%	25%	25%	0%	25%

4. If you somewhat or strongly disagreed with statement [#3c] above, which additional stakeholder group(s) do you think should be invited to participate in the LIO?

- Executive Committee membership should be increased to allow for businesses, watershed groups and other caucuses.

5. The LIO committees meet:

Too frequently = 50%

About the right number of times = 50%

Not often enough = 0%

6. The time allotted for discussion during meetings has been:

Too little = 0%

About right = 100%

More than necessary = 0%

7. The e-mails that I receive from LIO Support Staff are:

Informative = 100%

Not all that informative = 0%

Longer than necessary = 0%

Comments on question #7 above

None provided

8. The LIO web page is (check all that apply):

Useful = 0%

Not all that useful = 0%

Difficult to access = 0%

Something I rarely/never use = 100%

9. What goals do you hope to achieve for the stakeholder group you represent by participating in the Snohomish-Stillaguamish LIO?

- I hope that we can implement actions that will be meaningful and not just spend all of our time on process which the PSP is most known for.
- Generate support for local projects needed within our jurisdiction that lead to Puget Sound Recovery.
- Changes in local land use activities.
- Ensuring that solutions are reasonable, practical and effective, in balance with other community development objectives and requirements.

10. Do you have any suggestions for how the LIO could be more efficient and effective?

- The LIO seems too large to effectively generate and maintain workable partnerships. The LIO should consider breaking up into the two watersheds to work more efficiently in integrating Puget Sound Recovery into existing local processes.
- Make it watershed based.