DETERMINATION OF NONSIGNIFICANCE

Proponent: Snohomish County Department of Planning & Development Services
County Administration Building
3000 Rockefeller Avenue, M/S 604
Everett, WA 98201

Description of Proposal: Proposed ordinance to amend the Snohomish County Code titled:

RELATING TO GROWTH MANAGEMENT; REVISING THE
MINIMUM LOT SIZE FOR DUPLEXES; AMENDING CHAPTER 30.22
OF THE SNOHOMISH COUNTY CODE

Proposed amendments:

This is a non-project proposal to amend Snohomish County Code (SCC) Title 30 to revise the
minimum lot size for duplexes. Specifically addressed are proposed code amendments to: 1) amend
SCC 30.22.100 to delete reference note 42 from the Urban Use Matrix so that the
minimum lot size for duplexes would be the same as for other housing types in the R-9,600, R-
8,400, and R-7,200 zones, and 2) amend SCC 30.22.120 and SCC 30.33.130(42) to remove an
obsolete requirement for the Rural Use (RU) zone in reference note 42 since there are no
longer any properties zoned RU that fall under that requirement.

Lead Agency: Snohomish County Department of Planning & Development Services

Threshold Determination: The lead agency for this proposal has determined that it does not
have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment. An environmental impact
statement (EIS) IS NOT required under RCW 43.21C.030(2)(c). This decision was made after
review by Snohomish County of a completed environmental checklist and other information on
file with this agency. This information is available for public review upon request.

This DNS is issued under WAC 197-11-340(2); the lead agency will not act on this proposal for
14 days from the date below. Comments must be submitted by February 25, 2016, to the
responsible official at the address listed below.

Appeals:
This DNS, together with the subsequent legislative action by the County Council to amend the
County Code, may be appealed to the Central Puget Sound Growth Management Hearings
Board. THIS DNS MAY BE APPEALED ONLY WHEN SUCH APPEAL IS COMBINED WITH
THE APPEAL OF THE UNDERLYING ACTION PURSUANT TO SCC 30.73.100. THE
APPEAL MUST BE FILED WITHIN 60 DAYS OF THE PUBLISHED NOTICE OF THE NOTICE
OF ACTION ISSUED SUBSEQUENT TO THE FINAL DECISION BY THE COUNTY. The
Notice of Action describing the final decision by the County to pursue or not pursue the
proposed action will be published in the County's paper of record. Any appeal must be filed with
the Central Puget Sound Growth Management Hearings Board, at PO Box 40953 Olympia WA
98504-0953 within 60 days following publication in the paper, or as otherwise stated in the Notice of Action or provided by law.

**Responsible Official:** Barbara Mock  
**Position/Title:** Interim Director, Department of Planning & Development Services  
**Address:** 3000 Rockefeller Avenue, M/S #604  
Everett, WA 98201-4046

[Signature]  
Barbara Mock, Interim Director

For further information, contact Frank Slusser, Planning and Development Services, (425) 388-3311, x2944 or Frank.Slusser@snoco.org. Please include your full name and mailing address in any email comments.

**Date Issued:** February 11, 2016  
**Date Published:** February 11, 2016

**Distribution:**  
Washington State Department of Ecology  
DOE - SEPA register  
State Agencies (13)  
Puget Sound Clean Air Agency  
Snohomish County Assessor  
Snohomish County Public Works  
Snohomish County Sheriff  
Snohomish County Parks and Recreation  
Snohomish Health District  
City of Arlington  
City of Gold Bar  
City of Index  
City of Snohomish  
City of Sultan  
Town of Darrington  
City of Granite Falls  
City of Lake Stevens  
City of Marysville  
City of Everett  
City of Monroe  
City of Bothell  
City of Mill Creek  
City of Mukilteo  
Town of Woodway  
City of Brier  
City of Edmonds  
City of Lynnwood  
City of Mountlake Terrace  
City of Stanwood  
City of Shoreline
SNOHOMISH COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

Purpose of Checklist:

The State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), chapter 43.21C RCW, requires all governmental agencies to consider the environmental impacts of a proposal before making decisions. An environmental impact statement (EIS) must be prepared for all proposals with probable significant adverse impacts on the quality of the environment. The purpose of this checklist is to provide information to help you and the agency identify impacts from your proposal (and to reduce or avoid impacts from the proposal, if it can be done) and to help the agency decide whether an EIS is required.

Use of Checklist for Nonproject Proposals:

Complete this checklist for nonproject proposals, even though questions may be answered "does not apply." IN ADDITION, complete the SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS (part D).

A. BACKGROUND

1. Name of proposed project: Code amendment project:

   RELATING TO GROWTH MANAGEMENT; REVISING THE
   MINIMUM LOT SIZE FOR DUPLEXES; AMENDING CHAPTER 30.22
   OF THE SNOHOMISH COUNTY CODE

2. Name of applicant: Snohomish County, Department of Planning & Development Services

3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person:

   Frank Slusser, Project Manager
   3000 Rockefeller, M/S 604
   Everett, WA 98201
   Phone: (425) 388-3311 extension 2944
   E-mail: frank.slusser@snoco.org


5. Agency requesting checklist: Snohomish County, Department of Planning & Development Services

6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):
   Planning Commission public hearing: January 26, 2016
   County Council public hearing: To be determined

7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain.
This proposal is for a nonproject action with no directly related plans for future physical additions, expansions, or activities. In the future, the County will review all project-level proposals not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 to ensure consistency with Comprehensive Plan policies, implementation of existing regulations, and compliance with SEPA.

8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.

None.

9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.

There currently are no known applications pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by this proposal.

10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.

No government approvals or permits are required for this proposal.

11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. (Lead agencies may modify this form to include additional specific information of project description.)

Description of Proposal:

Amendments are proposed to Snohomish County Code Title 30 SCC to: 1) amend SCC 30.22.100 to delete reference note 42 from the Urban Use Matrix so that the minimum lot size for duplexes would be the same as for other housing types in the R-9,600, R-8,400, and R-7,200 zones, and 2) amend SCC 30.22.120 and SCC 30.33.130(42) to remove an obsolete requirement for the Rural Use (RU) zone in reference note 42 since there are no longer any properties zoned RU that fall under that requirement.

12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist.

This nonproject proposal affects urban residential lands located within the jurisdiction of Snohomish County.

B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS

1. Earth
   a. General description of the site (circle one): flat, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous, other _________.

   Lands within the jurisdiction of Snohomish County include a variety of terrain such as flat, rolling, hilly, and steep slopes.

   b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?
Slopes in excess of 100% can be found within the jurisdiction of Snohomish County.

c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any agricultural land of long-term commercial significance and whether the proposal results in removing any of these soils.

A range of soil types are found within the jurisdiction of Snohomish County. This nonproject proposal will not impact agricultural land of long-term commercial significance.

d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

Certain areas within Snohomish County have a history of surface instability associated with periods of heavy rainfall. Other areas have a history of more deep-seated instability associated with landslide activity.

e. Describe the purpose, type, total area, and approximate quantities and total affected area of any filling, excavation, and grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.

As a nonproject action, no filling or grading is proposed. Any future site-specific development or land use proposal not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to a separate SEPA review, which would include review of any proposed grading or filling activity.

f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

As a nonproject action, no erosion will occur as a direct result of this proposal. Any future site-specific development or land use proposal not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to a separate SEPA review, which would include review of any proposed clearing and construction that might result in erosion.

g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?

As a nonproject action, no impervious surface coverage will occur as a result of this proposal.

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:

As a nonproject action, no erosion reduction or control measures are proposed or required. Future site-specific development or land use action not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to project level SEPA and regulatory review and would require the implementation of applicable county regulations to reduce or control erosion or other impacts to the earth.

2. Air
a. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal during construction, operation, and maintenance when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

As a nonproject action, no emissions to air will occur as a result of this proposal.

b. Are there any off site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.

Not Applicable

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any.

As a nonproject action, no measures to reduce or control emissions are required or proposed. Future site-specific development or land use action not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to project level SEPA and regulatory review and would require the implementation of applicable county regulations to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any.

3. Water
   a. Surface:
      1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

      There are several streams, seasonal streams, and bodies of water located within Snohomish County.

      2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.

      As a nonproject action, this proposal will not require any work in, or adjacent to the described waters. Future site-specific development or land use action not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to project level SEPA and regulatory review and would require the implementation of applicable county regulations to reduce or control activities near surface water bodies, if any.

      3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.

      As a nonproject action, no fill or dredge material will be placed or removed from surface water or wetlands.

      4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

      As a nonproject action, no surface water withdrawals or diversion will be required.
5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.

*Not Applicable as this is a nonproject action.*

6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

*As a nonproject action, no discharges of waste materials to surface waters will occur as a result of this proposal.*

b. Ground:

1) Will groundwater be withdrawn from a well for drinking water or other purposes? If so, give a general description of the well, proposed uses and approximate quantities withdrawn from the well. Will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

*As a nonproject action, no groundwater will be withdrawn or discharged.*

2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals.....; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.

*As a nonproject action, no waste material will be discharged from septic tanks or other sources as a result of this proposal. Future development or land use actions not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 that would likely result in discharges from stormwater runoff would be subject to project-level SEPA and regulatory review.*

c. Water Runoff (including storm water):

1) Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

*As a nonproject action no runoff will occur as a result of this proposal. Any future site-specific development or land use action proposal not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to a separate SEPA and development permit review, which would address runoff management.*

2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.

*As a nonproject action, waste materials will not enter ground or surface waters as a result of this proposal. Any future site-specific development or land use proposal not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to separate SEPA and development permit reviews, which would address the potential of waste materials entering ground or surface waters.*
d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water impacts, if any:

As a nonproject action, no additional measures are required for this proposal. Any future site-specific development or land use proposal not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to a separate SEPA and permit review, which would include the implementation of measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff impacts.

4. Plants

a. Check or circle types of vegetation found on the site:
   - X deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other
   - X evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other
   - X shrubs
   - X grass
   - X pasture
   - X crop or grain
   - X Orchards, vineyards or other permanent crops
   - X wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bullrush, skunk cabbage, other
   - X water plants: water lily, eel grass, milfoil, other
   - X other types of vegetation

All types of the above vegetation occur in various urban locations throughout the county.

b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

As a nonproject action, no vegetation will be removed as a direct result of this proposal. Any future site-specific development proposal not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to a separate SEPA evaluation of any proposed vegetation removal or alteration.

c. List threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

U.S Fish and Wildlife Services provides legal listing for ESA species under its jurisdiction.
National Marine Fisheries Service provides legal listing for ESA species under its jurisdiction.
Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife provides legal listing for ESA species under its jurisdiction.
Washington State Department of Natural Resources provides legal listing of ESA species under its jurisdiction.

d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:

As a nonproject action, no measures to preserve or enhance vegetation are required for this proposal. Any future site-specific development or land use action proposal not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to a separate SEPA and permit review, which would include review of any proposed landscaping or measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site.

e. List all noxious weeds and invasive species known to be on or near the site.
All types of noxious weeds and invasive species occur in various urban residential locations throughout the county.

5. Animals
   a. List any birds and other animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site:

      Examples include:

      birds: hawks, heron, eagle, songbirds, other: ______________________
      mammals: deer, bear, elk, beaver, other: ______________________
      fish: bass, salmon, trout, herring, shellfish, other: ____________

      All of the above animal species may be found in various urban locations throughout the county.

   b. List any threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

      U.S. Fish and Wildlife Services provides legal listing for ESA species under its jurisdiction.
      National Marine Fisheries Service provides legal listing for ESA species under its jurisdiction.
      Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife provides legal listing for ESA species under its jurisdiction.
      Washington State Department of Natural Resources provides legal listing of ESA species under its jurisdiction.

   c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.

      Yes. Wildlife species do migrate through the county, but as a nonproject action it will not impact migratory species.

   d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:

      As a nonproject action, no measures to preserve or enhance wildlife are required or proposed. Any future site-specific development proposal not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to a separate SEPA review, which would include review and implementation of measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any.

   e. List any invasive animal species known to be on or near the site.

      All types of invasive animal species occur in various urban residential locations throughout the county.

6. Energy and Natural Resources
   a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.

      As a nonproject action, energy will not be consumed.

   b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe.
As a nonproject action, there will be no impact on solar energy as a result of this proposal.

c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:

As a nonproject action, energy conservation features are not applicable to this project. Any future site-specific development proposal not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to a separate SEPA review, which would include review and implementation of measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any.

7. Environmental Health

a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.

As a nonproject action, no environmental health hazards will result as a consequence of this proposal.

1) Describe any known or possible contamination at the site from present or past uses.

As a nonproject action, known or possible contamination are not applicable to this project. Any future site-specific development proposal not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to a separate SEPA review, which would include identification of known or possible contamination, if any.

2) Describe existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect project development and design. This includes underground hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines located within the project area and in the vicinity.

As a nonproject action, existing hazardous chemicals/conditions are not applicable to this project. Any future site-specific development proposal not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to a separate SEPA review, which would include identification existing hazardous chemicals/conditions, if any.

3) Describe any toxic or hazardous chemicals that might be stored, used, or produced during the project's development or construction, or at any time during the operating life of the project.

As a nonproject action, toxic or hazardous chemicals stored, used, or produced during the project's development or construction, or at any time during the operating life of the project are not applicable to this project. Any future site-specific development proposal not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to a separate SEPA review, which would include a review of toxic or hazardous chemicals stored, used, or produced during the project's development or construction, or at any time during the operating life of the project, if any.
4) Describe special emergency services that might be required.

As a nonproject action, no special emergency services are required by this proposal.

5) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:

As a nonproject action, no measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards are required for this proposal. Any future site-specific development proposal not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to a separate SEPA review, which would include review and implementation of measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any.

b. Noise

1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, aircraft, other)?

This nonproject action will not be effected by noise.

2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.

This nonproject action will not generate noise.

3) Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:

As a nonproject action, no measures to reduce or control noise impacts are required or proposed. Any future site-specific development proposal not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to a separate SEPA review, which would include review and implementation of measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any.

8. Land and Shoreline Use

a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties? Will the proposal affect current land uses on nearby or adjacent properties? If so, describe.

This nonproject action pertains to urban residential lands within Snohomish County’s jurisdiction.

b. Has the project site been used as working farmlands or working forest lands? If so, describe. How much agricultural or forest land of long-term commercial significance will be converted to other uses as a result of the proposal, if any? If resource lands have not been designated, how many acres in farmland or forest land tax status will be converted to nonfarm or nonforest use?

Not applicable to this nonproject action.

1) Will the proposal affect or be affected by surrounding working farm or forest land normal business operations, such as oversize equipment access, the application of pesticides, tilling, and harvesting? If so, how:
Not applicable to this nonproject action.

c. Describe any structures on the site.

Not applicable to this nonproject action.

d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?

As a nonproject action, no structures will be demolished as a result of this proposal.

e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?

This nonproject action pertains to R-9600, R-8400, R-7200, and Rural Use zoning classifications within the jurisdiction of Snohomish County.

f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

This nonproject action pertains primarily to Urban Low Density Residential within the jurisdiction of Snohomish County.

g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?

Not Applicable.

h. Has any part of the site been classified as a critical area by the city or county? If so, specify

Not Applicable.

i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?

As a nonproject action, no people would reside or work on the site as a result of this proposal.

j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?

As a nonproject action, no people would be displaced as a result of this proposal.

k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:

As a nonproject action, no measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts are required by this proposal.

l. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:

The proposed code amendments are compatible with and implement the urban land use plans and regulations.

m. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with nearby agricultural and forest lands of long-term commercial significance, if any:
9. Housing

a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle or low-income housing.

As a nonproject action, no housing units would be provided by this proposal.

b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

As a nonproject action, no housing units would be eliminated by this proposal.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:

As a nonproject action, no measures to reduce or control impacts to housing are required or proposed.

10. Aesthetics

a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?

As a nonproject action, no structures are proposed.

b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?

As a nonproject action, no views will be altered or obstructed as a result of this proposal.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:

As a nonproject action, no measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts are required or proposed. Any future site-specific development proposal not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to a separate SEPA review, which would include review and implementation of measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any.

11. Light and Glare

a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?

As a nonproject action, no light or glare will occur as a result of this proposal.

b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?

As a nonproject action, no light or glare that could be a safety hazard or interfere with views will result from this proposal. Any future site-specific development proposals not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 will be subject to a separate SEPA and applicable permit reviews, which will include review of light and glare from the development.

c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?
Not Applicable

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:

As a nonproject action, no measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts are required or proposed. Any future site-specific development proposal not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to a separate SEPA review, which would include review and implementation of measures to reduce control light and glare impact, if any.

12. Recreation
   a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?

   Hunting, fishing, bird watching and many other recreational opportunities exist throughout Snohomish County.

   b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.

   This nonproject action will not displace any existing recreational uses.

   c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:

   As a nonproject action, no measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation are proposed or required. Any future site-specific development proposal not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to a separate SEPA review, which would include review and implementation of measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provide by the project or applicant, if any.

13. Historic and Cultural Preservation
   a. Are there any buildings, structures, or sites, located on or near the site that are over 45 years old listed in or eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers located on or near the site? If so, specifically describe.

   Not applicable to this nonproject action.

   b. Are there any landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation? This may include human burials or old cemeteries. Are there any material evidence, artifacts, or areas of cultural importance on or near the site? Please list any professional studies conducted at the site to identify such resources.

   Not applicable to this nonproject action.

   c. Describe the methods used to assess the potential impacts to cultural and historic resources on or near the project site. Examples include consultation with tribes and the department of archeology and historic preservation, archaeological surveys, historic maps, GIS data, etc.

   Not applicable to this nonproject action.

   d. Proposed measure to reduce or control impacts, if any:
As a nonproject action, no measure to reduce or control impacts are proposed or required. Any future site-specific development proposal would be subject to a separate SEPA review, which would include review and implementation of measures to reduce or control impacts, if any.

14. Transportation
   a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site or affected geographic area and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.

   Various highways and several state routes and local streets service Snohomish County.

   b. Is the site or affected geographic area currently served by public transit? If so, generally describe. If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?

   Community Transit and Sound Transit provide service within Snohomish County.

   c. How many additional parking spaces would the completed project or non-project proposal have? How many would the project or proposal eliminate?

   As a nonproject action, no parking spaces are proposed or required. Future development must meet the minimum parking requirements as mandated by Chapter 30.26 of the Snohomish County Code.

   d. Will the proposal require any new or improvements to existing roads, streets, pedestrian, bicycle or state transportation facilities, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).

   As a nonproject proposal, new transportation improvements are not required or proposed. Future development will be reviewed for impacts to the roadway system and improvements to existing roadways may be required on a project-by-project basis.

   e. Will the project or proposal use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.

   Not Applicable to this nonproject action.

   f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project or proposal? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur and what percentage of the volume would be trucks (such as commercial and nonpassenger vehicles). What data or transportation models were used to make these estimates?

   This nonproject action will not directly generate any vehicular trips per day. Any future development or land use proposal w not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to a separate SEPA and permit review, which would include review of traffic issues.

   g. Will the proposal interfere with, affect or be affected by the movement of
agricultural and forest products on roads or streets in the area? If so, generally describe.

_not applicable to this nonproject action._

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:

_as a nonproject action, no measures to reduce or control transportation are proposed or required. any future site-specific development or land use action not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to a separate SEPA and concurrency review._

15. Public Services
   a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, public transit, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.

   _As a nonproject action, this proposal will not result in an increased need for public services. Site specific, project actions may affect services such as fire and police. These impacts will be reviewed during the project level permitting of the development._

   b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any.

   _As a nonproject action, no measures to reduce or control impacts on public services are proposed or required. Any future site-specific development or land use action proposal not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to a separate SEPA review, which would include review and implementation of measure to reduce or control any impacts on public services._

16. Utilities
   a. Circle utilities currently available at the site: electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system, other.

   _Not applicable to this nonproject action._

   b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.

   _As a nonproject action, no utilities are proposed or required._

C. SIGNATURE

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature: ____________________________

Frank Slusser, Project Manager
Senior Planner, Planning and Development Services

Date Submitted: February 11, 2016
D. SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS

Because these questions are very general, it may be helpful to read them in conjunction with the list of the elements of the environment.

When answering these questions, be aware of the extent of the proposal, or the types of activities likely to result from the proposal, would affect the item at a greater intensity or at a faster rate than if the proposal were not implemented. Respond briefly and in general terms.

1. How would the proposal be likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise?

   The proposal will not likely cause any increase in these types of discharges or emissions. As a nonproject action, no direct impacts will likely occur to water or air quality. There will not likely be a direct effect to the production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise.

   Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are:

   As a nonproject action, this proposal is not likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise. Future site-specific land activity not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to project-level environmental analysis and threshold determinations.

2. How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish, or marine life?

   As a nonproject action the proposal is not likely to impact animals, fish, or marine life.

   Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish or marine life are:

   The County’s critical areas regulations regulate development in environmentally sensitive areas.

3. How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources?

   The proposal would not likely deplete energy or natural resources.

   Proposed measures to protect or conserve energy and natural resources are:

   As a nonproject action, this proposal is not likely to deplete energy or natural resources. Future site-specific land activity not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to project-level environmental analysis and threshold determinations.

4. How would the proposal be likely to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection; such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, floodplains, or prime farmlands?

   The proposed code amendments would not likely affect environmentally sensitive areas as vegetation removal is prohibited in critical areas and critical area buffers. As a nonproject
action, this proposal is unlikely to directly affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for government protection.

Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts are:

The County’s critical areas regulations regulate development in environmentally sensitive areas.

5. How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land or shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans?

The proposals are not likely affect land and shoreline use. The County’s Shoreline Management Plan regulates development in the shoreline designations. This proposal does not encourage incompatible land or shoreline uses.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impacts are:

For any future site-specific land activity not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035, County staff would analyze the project specific land use impact implications and potentially require mitigation measures for any identified significant adverse impacts.

6. How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities?

As a nonproject action, this proposal is unlikely to directly increase demands on transportation or public services.

Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demand(s) are:

Future site-specific development or land use activity not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to project-level environmental analysis and threshold determinations. If needed, mitigation measures to address any increased demands on transportation or public services and utilities would be identified at that time.

7. Identify, if possible, whether the proposal may conflict with local, state, or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.

The proposal does not conflict with any law or requirements to protect the environment.