Snohomish County Public Works

ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

Project Number: RC 1668
UPI# 13-0041-1

Purpose of Checklist:
Governmental agencies use this checklist to help determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant. This information is also helpful to determine if available avoidance, minimization or compensatory mitigation measures will address the probable significant impacts or if an environmental impact statement will be prepared to further analyze the proposal.

SUMMARY

A. BACKGROUND

1. Name of proposed project:
   84th Street NE (Getchell Road) and 115th Avenue NE Intersection Improvements

2. Name of applicant:
   Snohomish County Public Works
   Engineering Services Division

3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person:
   Applicant: Snohomish County Public Works

   Contact Person:
   Mary Auld, Environmental Planner
   Snohomish County Public Works
   Transportation and Environmental Services
   3000 Rockefeller Avenue M/S 607
   Everett, WA 98201

   425-388-3488 extension 4510 or Mary.auld@snoco.org

4. Date checklist prepared:
   November 3, 2014

5. Agency requesting checklist:
Snohomish County Public Works
Transportation and Environmental Services Division

6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):
   This project is scheduled to be constructed in 2016.

7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain.
   No other future additions, expansion, or further activities have been identified at this time.

8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.
   Critical Area Study, Snohomish County, 2014

9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.
   No applications are pending.

10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.

   The following permits and approvals may be required:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Permit/Approval</th>
<th>Required from:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Land Disturbing Activity Permit (formerly Grading Permit)</td>
<td>Snohomish County – Public Works</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical Area Regulation Compliance</td>
<td>Snohomish County – Public Works</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit</td>
<td>Washington Department of Ecology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 404 Clean Water Act Permit</td>
<td>U.S. Army Corps of Engineers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydraulic Project Approval (HPA)*</td>
<td>Washington Department of Ecology</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11. Give a brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site.
   Snohomish County Public Works proposes to improve the operation and safety at the intersection of 84th Street NE (Getchell Road) and 115th Avenue NE. The intersection will be widened to construct left-turn pockets on 84th Street NE. The improvements will include: two 11-foot travel lanes, two 12-foot turn pockets and 8-foot paved shoulders on both sides of the road.
The existing drainage pattern will be maintained although pipes and catch basins may replace existing ditches in some areas. Stormwater treatment for water quality will be improved to comply with current standards.

An additional street light will be installed approximately 200 feet west of the intersection on an existing pole. Conduit will be installed at the intersection to accommodate installation of a traffic signal in the future.

12. Location of proposal:
This project is located in unincorporated Snohomish County, east of Marysville and north of Lake Stevens at the intersection of 84th Street NE (Getchell Road) and 115th Avenue NE. The project is located within Section 19, 20; Township 30N; Range 6E.

B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS

1. Earth

a. General description of the site (shown in bold type): flat, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous, other.

   The area around this intersection is generally flat to rolling. The topography slopes down slightly to the west/southwest of the intersection.

b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?

   The road grade at the intersection is generally flat. The existing topography is a gradual 2-3 percent slope. Side slopes in some locations are as steep as 60 percent.

c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any agricultural land of long-term commercial significance and whether the proposal results in removing any of these soils.

   There are two types of soil classified by the Natural Resources Conservation Service in the Soil Survey of Snohomish County Area. The majority of the soil in the area of the intersection is Tokul gravelly loam, 0 to 8 percent slopes. This moderately deep, moderately well drained soil is found on till plains. It formed in glacial till and volcanic ash. The native vegetation is mainly conifers.

   There is also a small area of McKenna gravelly silt loam, 0 to 8 percent to the north of the intersection. This is a moderately deep, poorly drained soil in depressional areas and drainage ways on till plains. It is formed in glacial till. The native vegetation is mainly conifers.

d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

   There are no surface indications or history of unstable soils in the vicinity of the project.
e. Describe the purpose, type, total area, and approximate quantities and total affected area of any filling, excavation, or grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.

Improving the intersection will require excavation and filling. Fill type is gravel borrow, crushed surfacing base and top course, and asphalt. The approximate quantity of excavation is 712 cubic yards (or 1,325 tons).

Approximate quantity of total fill material is 819 cubic yards (or 1,525 tons). Approximately 0.35 acres will be affected. The fill material will be obtained from approved sites as supplied by the contractor and meet Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) specifications.

f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

Erosion could occur during clearing and grading activities for the intersection improvements. However, these activities would not result in significant adverse erosion related impacts. Best Management Practices (BMPs) would be used for temporary erosion and pollution control. Stormwater runoff generated on the construction site will be directed to existing systems or temporary sediment basins.

g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction?

Approximately 70 percent of the project area will impervious surface after the project is completed.

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:

No significant adverse impacts are anticipated. Best Management Practices (BMPs) would be used throughout construction to control erosion and prevent sediments from entering surface water and storm drainage systems. A temporary erosion and sediment control plan will be implemented during construction. Limits of clearing and grading will be posted prior to any site disturbance. BMPs would be in place around stockpiles of excavated fill. BMPs may include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Protective coverings will be placed over exposed soil to prevent sediment and other contaminants from entering the roadside ditches. Protective covering will be clear plastic sheeting, straw mulch, jute matting, or erosion control blanket per Department of Ecology requirements.

- Erosion and sedimentation control measures will be routinely inspected, maintained and repaired. Damaged or inadequate erosion and sedimentation control measures will be corrected quickly.

- Any bare soil that may result from project activity will be reseeded with an appropriate erosion control seed mix after establishment of final grades.

2. Air

a. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal (i.e., dust, automobile odors, and industrial wood smoke) during construction, operation, and maintenance when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.
During clearing and grading, dust levels may increase temporarily. There may also be minor temporary increases in emissions released from construction equipment. No long-term emissions will result from this project.

b. Are there any off site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.
   No.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any.
   During construction, equipment emissions will not exceed applicable state and federal air quality standards. Dust control measures will be implemented to minimize airborne dust.

3. Water

a. Surface Water

1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.
   An unnamed seasonal creek flows south and east of the project area and intersects the Little Pilchuck Creek about three miles from the project area. There are also several small isolated wetlands in the project area.

2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.
   Yes. The intersection improvements will be within 200 feet of wetlands in the area.

3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.
   The project would require approximately 190 cubic yards of fill material to be placed in wetlands to accommodate the roadway widening. Fill material would be obtained from approved gravel borrow sites meeting Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) specifications.

4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.
   No.

5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.
   No.

6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.
   No.
b. Ground

1) Will ground water be withdrawn from a well for drinking water or other purposes? If so, give a general description of the well, proposed uses, and approximate quantities withdrawn from the well? Will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

No.

2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals...; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.

No waste material will be discharged.

c. Water Runoff (including storm water)

1) Describe the source of runoff (including stormwater) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

The source of runoff will be from rainfall onto the project site. The proposed drainage system will be a series of catch basins and storm pipe designed to collect runoff from the project area and direct it to the necessary stormwater treatment and detention facilities where required or directly to the downstream conveyance systems.

2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.

During construction, Best Management Practices will be used to prevent waste materials from entering ground or surface waters. Construction will occur during the dry season.

3) Does the proposal alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site? If so, describe.

No, the project drainage will follow the existing drainage pattern.

b. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water, and drainage pattern impacts, if any.

During construction, surface water runoff would be controlled by best management practices. Temporary measures will be employed to control runoff. Typical best management practices include, but are not limited to: silt fences, filter berms, and sediment traps. Limits of clearing and grading will be marked prior to any site disturbance. Bare soil areas exposed by construction activities will be reseeded and/or planted or covered with mulch to control erosion. Erosion will be minimized by stabilizing all exposed soils as construction proceeds. Whenever possible stormwater will be directed around the active work area. When possible, work in ditches will be limited to times of zero flow. Temporary rock check dams will be placed in ditches, if needed, to provide water quality treatment. Other erosion
control BMPs will consist of soil stabilization methods including sodding, plastic covering, jute matting, gravel and straw wattles, where applicable.

4. Plants

a. Check types of vegetation found on or in close proximity to the site:
   - deciduous trees: Red alder (Alnus rubra), Vine maple (Acer circinatum)
   - evergreens: Western redcedar (Thuja plicata)
   - shrubs: Salmonberry (Rubus spectabilis), Stinging nettle (Urtica dioca), Swordfern (Polystichum munitum)
   - grasses: various non-native grasses and lawns
   - pasture: none
   - crop or grain: none
   - orchards, vineyards or other permanent crops: none
   - wet soil plants: Lady fern (Athyrium filix ), Douglas spirea (Spiraea douglasii)
   - water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other: none
   - other types of vegetation: a variety of ornamental trees and shrubs are also found in the area

b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?
   Native and ornamental plants within the right-of-way will be removed for construction, where necessary.

c. List threatened or endangered plant species known to be on or near the site.
   None known.

d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation of the site, if any:
   Loss of, and disturbance to, vegetation would be minimized to the extent practicable. Clearing limits would be identified in project plans and highly visible fencing would mark the clearing limits during construction. Mitigation for impacts to wetlands and/or streams will be include planting of native species.

e. List all noxious weeds and invasive species known to be on or near the site.
   Noxious weeds known to be near the site include: Himalayan blackberry (Rubus armeniacus), and reed canary grass (Phalaris arundinacea).

5. Animals

a. List any birds and other animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site.
   birds: hawks, eagles, songbirds, owls, ducks, woodpeckers
   mammals: deer, opossum, raccoon, coyote, small rodents
   fish: An unnamed stream south of the improvements is classified as a seasonal, non-fish bearing stream (Ns).

b. List any threatened or endangered wildlife species known to be on or near the site.
None known.

c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.
   The site is within the Pacific Flyway. Migratory waterfowl can be observed in the
greater project vicinity.

d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:
   Project construction would occur primarily during the summer months when
rainfall is minimal. This will minimize erosion and prevent sedimentation of surface
waters that could adversely affect downstream fish. Bare soil areas would be
revegetated and planted after site grades have been established. Wetland mitigation
areas will be designed to enhance habitat. Mitigation areas will be planted with
native trees and shrubs.

6. Energy and Natural Resources

a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the
completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing,
etc.
   N/A

b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so,
generally describe.
   N/A.

c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List
other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:
   N/A

7. Environmental Health

a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire
and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so,
describe.
   No potentially hazardous materials have been identified at, or in proximity to, the
project area. Fuel spills and other construction-equipment fluids could potentially
occur during construction. Minor amounts of fuel would be used by construction
equipment during site clearing and grading activities.

1) Describe any known or possible contamination at the site from present or past uses.
   No potentially hazardous materials have been identified at or in close proximity to
the intersection improvements. The closest Confirmed or Suspected Contaminated
Site on the Department of Ecology's current list is 2.4 miles east of the site.

2) Describe existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect project development and
design. This includes underground hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines located
within the project area and in the vicinity.
There are no known underground hazardous liquids within the project area and vicinity. There is a Puget Sound Energy underground natural gas line in this corridor. No impact to the gas line is anticipated by this project.

3) Describe any toxic or hazardous chemicals that might be stored, used or produced during the project’s development or construction, or at any time during the operating life of the project. Spills of fuel or other equipment fluids could potentially occur during construction. Spill control and clean-up material would be staged onsite. The crew leader or other designated person will have a spill control plan and be trained in spill prevention and clean up. All equipment will be well maintained and in good repair to prevent the loss of any petroleum products. Refueling and vehicle maintenance would generally occur off-site.

4) Describe special emergency services that might be required.
   No special emergency services are anticipated. Emergency response vehicles may be required in the event of a construction accident. The completed project would not require any additional emergency services.

5) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:
   Spill control and clean-up material would be staged onsite. The crew leader or other designated person would have a spill control plan and be trained in spill prevention and clean up. All equipment would be well maintained and in good repair to prevent the loss of any petroleum products. Refueling and vehicle maintenance would generally occur off-site.

   An Environmental Site Assessment would be prepared prior to the construction to address any potential soil contamination or other hazardous materials on site. If any hazardous materials are discovered during project construction, they would be handled and disposed of according to adopted Washington State and local codes governing their disposal.

b. Noise

1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, aircraft, other)?
   No noise in the area would affect the proposed shoulder or road widening.

2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.
   During construction, there would be short-term impacts associated with increased noise from trucks and heavy equipment. Work, unless of an emergency nature, will take place during daylight hours, generally between 7:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m., Monday through Friday. Construction would involve cut and fill activities, removing or reconditioning the existing roadway and paving. The most prevalent noise source at a construction site is the internal combustion engine. Other noise sources would include equipment and tools such as jackhammers.
Typical noise associated with the roadway is expected. The proposed project would not increase vehicle capacity as no new traffic lanes are proposed. There would be no long-term noise impacts from the completed project.

3) Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:
   None proposed.

8. Land and Shoreline Use

   a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties? Will the proposal affect current land uses on nearby or adjacent properties? If so, describe.
      The site is road right-of-way. Adjacent property is rural residential. The addition of a center turn lane will not affect current land uses on nearby or adjacent properties.

   b. Has the site been used as working farmlands or working forest lands? If so, describe. How much agricultural or forest land of long-term commercial significance will be converted to other uses as a result of the proposal, if any? If resource lands have not been designated, how many acres in farmland or forest land tax status will be converted to non-farm or non-forest use?
      The adjacent land is rural residential. There are no working farmland or working forest land adjacent to the intersection.

   c. Describe any structures on the site.
      The site is road right-of-way.

   d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?
      No structures will be demolished.

   e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?
      The current zoning of this area is Rural 5 Acre.

   f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?
      The current comprehensive plan designation of the site is Rural Residential: one dwelling unit per 5 acres (RR5).

   g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?
      This project area is not within shoreline jurisdiction.

   h. Has any part of the site been classified critical area by the city or county? If so, specify.
      There are three wetlands (identified as A, B, C) in the project area. Wetland A is located on the north side of 84th Street NE, east of 115th Avenue NE. Most of this wetland is pasture with the portion along 84th Street NE vegetated with shrubs. Wetland B is located on the south side of 84th Street NE and east of 115th and has a forested canopy. Wetland C is on the south side of 84th Street NE west of 115th Ave NE and is a small scrub-shrub wetland adjacent to the road.
One stream flows through the project area. The stream is in a channelized ditch adjacent to Wetland A and flows south across 84th Street NE in a culvert east of 115th Avenue NE. It flows into a roadside ditch, under a driveway, into a culvert and then into Wetland B.

i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?
   N/A

j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?
   N/A

k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:
   N/A

l. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:
   N/A

m. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with nearby agricultural and forest lands of long-term commercial significance, if any:
   N/A

9. Housing

a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle or low-income housing.
   N/A

b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.
   None.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:
   None proposed.

10. Aesthetics

a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?
   No structures are proposed.

b. What view in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?
   No views would be altered or obstructed.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:
   None proposed.
11. Light and Glare

a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?
   An additional luminaire (streetlight) is proposed as part of the project.

b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?
   No.

c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?
   None.

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:
   None proposed.

12. Recreation

a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?
   The Centennial Trail crosses 84th Street NE about one mile west of the intersection.
   Lake Cassidy Wetlands are approximately one mile southwest of the project area.

b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.
   No recreational use would be displaced. All property adjacent to the project site is
   private, residential property.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation
   opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:
   None proposed.

13. Historic and Cultural Preservation

a. Are there any buildings, structures, or sites, located on or near the site that are over 45 years
   old listed in or eligible for listing in national, site, or local preservation registers located on or
   near the site? If so, generally describe.
   There are several residential houses in the immediate vicinity of the intersection that
   are older than 45 years. The oldest home in the project vicinity was constructed in
   1911. However, the intersection improvements are within the road right-of-way and
   will not impact any structures.

b. Are there any landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation?
   This may include human burials or old cemeteries. Are there any material evidence, artifacts, or
   areas of cultural importance on or near the site. Please list any professional studies conducted at
   the site to identify such resources.
   There are no landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or
   occupation located at the project site, including human burials or old cemeteries.
   There is no material evidence, artifacts, or areas of cultural importance on or near
   the site.
c. Describe the methods used to assess the potential impacts to cultural and historic resources on or near the project site. Examples include consultation with tribes and the department of archeology and historic preservation, archaeological surveys, historic maps, GIS data, etc.

The project site was screened by Snohomish County Public Works to determine the project’s proximity to known archaeological and cultural sites. There are no known recorded sites located where potential ground disturbance activities are anticipated. There are no recorded archaeological sites, or known places or objects listed on or proposed for national, state, or local registers in the greater project area.

The Environmental Checklist for this project will be sent to local Tribes and the Washington State Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation for review and comment.

d. Proposed measures to avoid, minimize, or compensate for loss, changes to, and disturbance to resources. Please include plans for the above and any permits that may be required.

Compliance with Section 106 National Historic Preservation Act would be required as part of the application for Army Corps of Engineers Section 404 authorization.

Although no known archaeological sites are in close proximity to the project, there is still a possibility that cultural resources could be present. If, during construction, cultural resources are found, a systematic collection of artifacts would be made before proceeding with the work and the Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation would be contacted. If artifacts are uncovered within the project area, work in that area would be stopped and a professional archaeologist would be brought in to examine them. During construction the contractor would monitor the site for potential cultural materials. If artifacts or human remains are uncovered within the project area, work would stop until a qualified archeologist can make an assessment.

14. Transportation
a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site, or affected geographic area, and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.

The project area is the intersection of 84th Street NE (also known as Getchell Road) and 115th Ave NE. The turn lanes and widened shoulders are proposed on 84th Street NE.

b. Is the site or affected geographic area currently served by public transit? If so, generally describe. If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?

The closest Community Transit bus route is #222. This route is west of the project area on 67th Avenue NE.

c. How many additional parking spaces would the completed project or non-project proposal have? How many would the project or proposal eliminate?

N/A
d. Will the proposal require any new or improvements to existing roads, streets, pedestrian, bicycle or state transportation facilities, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).

The proposal is to improve the intersection to include left-turn lanes and 8-foot walkable shoulders.

e. Will the project or proposal use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.

No.

f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project or proposal? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur and what percentage of the volume would be trucks (such as commercial or non-passenger vehicles). What data or transportation models were used to make these estimates?

None.

g. Will the proposal interfere with, affect or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets in the area? If so, generally describe.

No.

b. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:

None proposed.

15. Public Services

a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.

No.

b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any.

None proposed.

16. Utilities

a. Utilities currently available at the site:

Existing utilities within project limits include Comcast (cable), Frontier Communications (phone, internet), Puget Sound Energy (gas), Snohomish County Public Works, Snohomish County PUD (power).

b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.

Utility relocations will be part of the work within project limits. All construction will be designed to minimize disruptions and relocation of existing utilities. Detailed information will be requested from each utility provider during the design phase.
C. SIGNATURE

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature: [Signature]
Mary Auld, Senior Environmental Planner

Date Submitted: 11-4-14